



XI INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2021 IIZS 2021, October 07-08, 2021, Zrenjanin, Serbia



ADVANTAGES OF BIOGAS POWER PLANTS IN ENERGY TRANSITION OF PANNONIAN COUNTRIES (2) - Benefits for the national economy

Milan Ivanović ¹, Hrvoje Glavaš ²

 Panon – Think Tank for Strategic Studies, Osijek, Croatia
 Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Information Technology, Osijek, Croatia

The national economy and energy sector have a number of very significant benefits from investing in the construction of biogas plants (BgP) compared to other renewable sources - as shown in Figure 1 and tables 1 - 2.

Fig. 1.
Benefits to the national economy from the construction of biogas power plants

BIOGAS PRODUCTION BENEFITS FOR NATIONAL ECONOMY CLIMATE CHANGE - FULFILLING EU OBLIGATIONS LESS IMPORTS OF ELECTRICITY, GAS AND OIL SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER ECONOMIC EFFECTS IN ENERGY BALANCE OF FUNCTIONING OF THE NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM EMPLOYMENT OF DOMESTIC COMPANIES and ACTIVATION OF DOMESTIC RESOURCES USE OF BIOGAS IN TRANSPORT AND DISTRIBUTION OF NATURAL GAS

Table 1. Investment channels - effects on € 1 million value of total investments

Power plant type Indicator	Wind farms	Solar	Biomass	Biogas	Small hydropower
GDP (000 €)					
Direct effect	739,1	973,6	188,9	215,8	916,3
Indirect effect	193,3	17,5	671,5	608,0	73,3
Induced effect	91,0	7,4	336,5	183,5	35,3
Total effect	1023.3	998,6	1197,0	1007,3	1025,0
Number of employees in terr					
Direct effect	0,6	0	11,7	8,6	6,0
Indirect effect	6,5	0,5	37,8	33,3	2,5
Induced effect	3,6	0,3	13,1	7,2	1,4
Total effect	10,7	0,7	62,7	49,1	9,8

Table 2. Intermediate consumption channel - effects on € 1 million value of total investments

Power plant type Indicator	Wind farms	Solar	Biomass	Biogas	Small hydropower
GDP (000 €)					
Direct effect	130,0	238,4	217,1	240,9	235,9
Indirect effect	94,2	181,0	178,1	212,9	200,6
Induced effect	120,5	216,9	199,9	220,3	210,7
Total effect	344,6	636,3	595,1	674,1	647,3
Number of employees in term	ns of annual la	borinput			
Direct effect	6,1	8,2	9,8	11,9	11,6
Indirect effect	3,2	6,5	6,5	6,6	6,1
Induced effect	5,0	8,5	8,6	9,0	8,3
Total effect	14,3	23,2	24,9	27,5	26,0

CONCLUSION

Our considerations - on the example of the Republic of Croatia - showed: a) That BgP have the most favorable effects on the GDP of the national economy - compared to other renewable sources - through investment, intermediate consumption and employment of domestic labor and activation of national resources. b) Important benefits for the national economy are to: reducing CO2 emissions and municipal waste disposing; fewer imports of electricity, gas, and oil; significantly better economic performance in energy; balancing functioning of the national electricity system; hiring domestic companies and activating domestic resources; and Use of biogas in transport. c) European developed countries are achieving strong growth in biogas capacity building and biogas use, and especially strong growth in biogas refining capacity (biomethane production). d) States in the Pannonian area should pay special attention to investments in renewable energy sources and objectify the eco-friendly, ecological and energy effects of certain forms of renewable energy sources, and not be subject to the influence of interest lobbies without a critical approach.